NTEP NEWSLINE

A publication of the National Turfgrass Evaluation Program

October - December 1999 Volume 2, Issue 3

ON-SITE OVERSEEDING TRIAL UPDATE

In early September, NTEP compiled and assembled the entries in their first fairway overseeding trial conducted on actual bermudagrass fairways. Ten golf courses have been selected (see list on page two) and overseeding has taken place on the northern-most sites as of this writing. The southern locations will be overseeded in October with data collection commencing shortly thereafter. Data will be collected throughout fall, winter and spring ending when transition from the overseeding grass to bermudagrass is complete (no later than August 1). Then the entire process will be repeated with overseeding of all entries commencing again in fall 2000. By August 1, 2001, the trials will be completed. NTEP will compile the data and release progress reports in fall 2000 and 2001 with a two-year summary (final) report being completed and released in late fall 2001.

This trial contains forty-two (42) entries from fifteen sponsoring companies (*see the entry list on page two*). Details on test procedures, seeding rates, etc. were published in the last *Newsline* issue. It is available on the NTEP web site.

1999 PERENNIAL Ryegrass Test Update

The 1999 National Perennial Ryegrass Test has been initiated containing a record 134 entries. The test was mailed in late August to thirty university cooperators (in twenty-eight states) that will conduct standard evaluations. In addition, seed sets for ancillary tests were sent to three sites for future gray leaf spot (Pyricularia grisea) evaluations, three sites for two years of traffic evaluations and one site to evaluate winter tolerance at high elevations (see Newsline, Vol. 2, Issue 2 for details on sites and management levels).

The number of entries (134) submitted by companies is the most ever received for any NTEP test (*see entry and sponsor list on page three*). Only twenty-one (21) of these entries have been evaluated in past NTEP tests making this a trial of many new experimental varieties. In addition, the test contains entries from thirty different sponsoring companies. Please watch future *Newsline* issues for updated information on this important trial.

(continued on page three)

NTEP WEB SITE ENHANCEMENTS!

Enhancements are being added daily to the new NTEP web site (**www.ntep.org**). An easier to navigate menu with more options is just one of the many changes made recently. The site has been completely redesigned with information available on NTEP organization, test procedures and methods, cooperative efforts with other organiza-

(continued on page four)

Table of Contents

Overseeding Trial Update1
Perennial Rye Trial Update1
Web Site Update1
Site Profile: Univ. of RI4
NTEP Test Procedures5

ON-SITE OVERSEEDING TRIAL UPDATE (continued from page one)

ON-SITE OVERSEEDING OF BERMUDAGRASS FAIRWAYS TEST LOCATIONS

			Research	
Location	Golf Course	Superintendent	Cooperator	University
Tucson, AZ	Tucson Country Club	Marty Wells	Dr. David Kopec	Arizona
Palm Desert, CA	Mountain Vista	Nancy Dickens	Dr. Robert Green	California-Riverside
Orlando, FL	Grand Cypress	Tom Alex	Dr. Al Dudeck	Florida
Duluth, GA (Atlanta)	Atlanta Athletic Club	Ken Mangum	Dr. Gil Landry	Georgia
Mississippi St., MS	Mississippi State Univ.	Pat Sneed	Dr. Jeff Krans	Mississippi State
Crescent, MO (St. Louis)	Players Club at St. Louis	Todd Marquette	Dr. Erik Ervin	Missouri
Myrtle Beach, SC	Blackmoor	Bob Zuercher	Dr. Bruce Martin	Clemson
Garland, TX (Dallas)	Fire Wheel Golf Park	Gary Chambers	Dr. Milt Engelke	Texas A&M-Dallas
The Woodlands, TX (Houston)	The Woodlands	Scott Hamilton, Gant Austin	Dr. Richard White	Texas A&M-College Station
Charlottesville, VA	Glenmore C.C.	Tim Thomas	Dr. David Chalmers	Virginia Tech

ENTRIES AND SPONSORS - ON-SITE OVERSEEDING FAIRWAY TRIAL

Entry	# Name	Species or composition	Sponsor
1	Allsport	perennial ryegrass	LESCO, Inc.
2	LS-DE1	perennial ryegrass	LESCO, Inc.
3	Proam	poa trivialis	LESCO, Inc.
4	Barlennium	perennial ryegrass	Barenbrug US
5	Pirouette	perennial ryegrass	Barenbrug US
6	Bariviera	poa trivialis	Barenbrug US
7	Professional's Select	40% Windstar, 35% Sonata, 25% Jet p. ryegrass blend	Pennington Se
8	Transist	intermediate ryegrass	Pickseed We
9	Pick HR A-97	intermediate ryegrass	Pickseed We
10	First Cut	85% Paragon p. rye, 15% Stardust poa trivialis	Turf Merchan
11	Brightstar II	perennial ryegrass	Turf-Seed, Inc
12	Charger II	perennial ryegrass	Turf-Seed, Inc
13	Citation III	perennial ryegrass	Turf-Seed, Inc
14	Brightstar II + Winterplay	85% Brightstar II p. rye, 15% Winterplay poa trivialis	Turf-Seed, Inc
15	Charger	perennial ryegrass	Standard Entr
16	Citation III + Winterstar	85% Citation III p. rye, 15% Winterstar poa trivialis	Turf-Seed, Inc
17	Winterplay	poa trivialis	Standard Entr
18	ABT-99-3.268	annual ryegrass	AgriBioTech,
19	Paragon	perennial ryegrass	Turf Merchan
20	PST-3BK-99	perennial ryegrass	Pure-Seed Te
21	Fiesta 3	perennial ryegrass	Pickseed We
22	Futura 2500	33% Cutter p. rye, 33% Sunshine p. rye, 33% Transist intermediate rye	Pickseed We
23	MED-007	25% JR-151, 25% JR-142, 25% JR-128, 25% JR-265 p. ryegrass blend	Simplot Turf &
24	Capri	perennial ryegrass	DLF Trifolium
25	Leaderboard	34% Pennant II, 33% Panther, 33% Seville II p. ryegrass blend	Independent S
26	Seville II	perennial ryegrass	Independent S
27	Snowbird	poa trivialis	Independent S
28	MP58	, perennial ryegrass	Jenks Seed C
29	Prime	33% Elfkin, 33% MP58, 33% MP88 p. ryegrass blend	Jenks Seed C
30	Elfkin	perennial ryegrass	Jenks Seed C
31	MP111	perennial ryegrass	Cascade Inter
32	Mountain View Seed Blend 1	40% Pearl, 30% Pageant II, 30% EP57 p. ryegrass blend	Mountain Vie
33	Mountain View Seed Blend 2	40% EP56, 30% Pearl, 30% Academy p. ryegrass blend	Mountain View
34	Mountain View Seed Blend 3	40% EP57, 30% EP56, 30% Flash p. ryegrass blend	Mountain View
35	Essence	perennial ryegrass	Cebeco Interr
36	Top Hat	perennial ryegrass	Standard Entr
37	Cebeco Blend 1	33% Top Hat, 33% R2, 33% Gator II p. ryegrass blend	Cebeco Interr
38	Sabre	poa trivialis	Standard Entr
39	Tourstar	34% Imagine, 33% Ice, 33% Lynx p. ryegrass blend	AgriBioTech,
40	Marvelgreen + Laser	40% Palmer III, 20% Prelude III, 20% Phantom p. rye, 15% Laser poa triv.	AgriBioTech,
41	Phantom	perennial ryegrass	AgriBioTech.
42	Marvelgreen Supreme	50% Palmer III, 25% Prelude III, 25% Phantom p. ryegrass blend	AgriBioTech,

SA SA SA Seed, Inc. est, Inc. est, Inc. nts, Inc. ry try , Inc. nts, Inc. esting, Inc. est, Inc. est. Inc. & Horticulture Seeds Seeds Seeds Connection Connection Connection ernational Seed Co. w Seed Co. w Seed Co. w Seed Co. national Seeds ry national Seeds ry Inc. Inc. Inc. Inc.

NEWSLINE

NEWSLINE

1999 NATIONAL PERENNIAL RYEGRASS TEST - ENTRIES AND SPONSORS

(continued from page one)

Entry #	Name	Sponsor	Entry #	Name	Sponsor
1		Robotte Sood Company	69	DCT.2DD	Pure-Sood Tecting Inc
2	Basar	Roberts Seed Company Roberts Seed Company	60	PST-2DIC	Pure Seed Testing Inc.
2		Roberts Seeu Company	09	PST-CAIS	Pure-Seeu Testing, Inc.
3	Flesia 3	Pickseed west, inc.	70	P51-20RL	Pure-Seed Testing, Inc.
4	Linn	Standard Entry	71	PST-2LA	Pure-Seed Testing, Inc.
5	Buccaneer	Standard Entry	72	Brightstar II	Turf-Seed, Inc.
6	Pick PR 1-94	Pickseed West, Inc.	73	Catalina	Turf-Seed, Inc.
7	Passport	Roberts Seed Company	74	Manhattan 3	Turf-Seed, Inc. & Turf Merchants, Inc.
8	Headstart	Roberts Seed Company	75	Charger II	Turf-Seed Inc
0		Deuteebe Secture endelung	76	Bolmor III	Standard Entry
9 10	LFIX 90-143	Deutsche Saatveredelung	70	Phontom	AgriPioToob Ino
10	LFIX 90-144	Deutschie Saatvereuelung		Filanom	Agribio recit, inc.
11	YatsuGreen	Nichino Ryokka Co., Ltd.	78	ABT-99-4.339	AgriBioTech, Inc.
12	Secretariat	Grassland West Company	79	ABT-99-4.464	AgriBioTech, Inc.
13	Pizzazz	Turf Merchants. Inc.	80	ABT-99-4.600	AgriBioTech, Inc.
14	Promise	Turf Merchants Inc.	81	ABT-99-4 721	AgriBioTech Inc
15	Paragon	Turf Merchants, Inc.	82	ABT-00-/ 815	AgriBioTech Inc
16	Diak PC2	Diskaged West Inc.	02	APT 00 4 924	AgriDioTech, Inc.
10	PICK RC2	Pickseed West, Inc.	83	AB1-99-4.634	Agribio lech, inc.
17	PICK EX2	Pickseed West, Inc.	84	Cathedral II	Independent Seeds
18	Affinity	Standard Entry	85	Panther	Independent Seeds
19	APR 1234	Advanta Seeds Pacific, Inc.	86	Seville II	Independent Seeds
20	APR 1233	Advanta Seeds Pacific, Inc.	87	Pennant II	Independent Seeds
21	Koos R-71	Willamette Valley Plant Breeders	88	DP 17-9496	DLF-Trifolium
22	WVPB-R-82	Willamette Valley Plant Breeders	89	DP LP-1	DLF-Trifolium
23	W/VPB-R-84	Willamette Valley Plant Breeders	90	DP 17-9069	DI F-Trifolium
20	ADD 1006	Smith Sood Sonvioon	01	DD 17 0201	DLE Trifolium
24	AFR 1230	Similin Seed Services	91	DF 17-9391	
25	Nexus	Smith Seed Services	92	Allsport	LESCO, Inc.
26	DLF-LDD	DLF-Trifolium	93	Line Drive	LESCO, Inc.
27	NJ-6401	Rutgers University	94	Wilmington	LESCO, Inc.
28	Roberts-627	Roberts Seed Company	95	6011	LESCO, Inc.
29	LTP 98-501	Lebanon Seaboard Corp.	96	AG-P981	LESCO. Inc.
30	Pennington-11301	Pennington Seed Company	97	APR 777	Advanta Seeds Pacific, Inc.
04		Osh sas latan afiar al Osa da	~	A DD 770	A durante Ora de De cificada e
31	CIS-PR-69	Cebeco International Seeds	98	APR //6	Advanta Seeds Pacific, Inc.
32	CIS-PR-75	Cebeco International Seeds	99	APR 1231	Advanta Seeds Pacific, Inc.
33	CIS-PR-78	Cebeco International Seeds	100	APR 1232	Advanta Seeds Pacific, Inc.
34	CIS-PR-80	Cebeco International Seeds	101	SRX 4801	Seed Research of Oregon, Inc.
35	CIS-PR-84	Cebeco International Seeds	102	SR 4500	Seed Research of Oregon, Inc.
36	CIS-PR-85	Cebeco International Seeds	103	SRX 4120	Seed Research of Oregon, Inc
37	B8000	The Scotts Company	100	SDY ADUT	Sood Research of Oregon, Inc.
00	Maiaatu	The Ocolla Company	104	5Kkin	Lealer Que d Que a sting
38	wajesty	The Scotts Company	105	EITKIN	Jenks Seed Connection
39	Divine	The Scotts Company	106	CAS-LP84	ProSeeds, Inc.
40	Ascend	The Scotts Company	107	MDP	ProSeeds, Inc.
41	Pleasure XL	Ampac Seed Company	108	EPD	Mountain View Seeds. Ltd.
42	B1	Ampac Seed Company	109	EP53	Grassland West Co
12	ADD 1225	Advanta Soode Pacific Inc	110	ED57	Mountain View Soods Ltd
40	APR 1233	Advanta Seeds Facilio, Inc.	444	Clashevula	Forboa Cood & Croin
44	APR 1237	Advanta Seeds Pacific, Inc.	111	Skynawk	Forbes Seed & Grain
45	Churchill	Lebanon Seaboard Corp.	112	MP58	Jenks Seed Connection
46	Exacta	Lebanon Seaboard Corp.	113	MP107	Cascade International Seed Co.
47	Affirmed	Lebanon Seaboard Corp.	114	MP103	Cascade International Seed Co.
48	LTP-ME	Lebanon Seaboard Corp.	115	MEPY	Jenks Seed Connection
49	Barlennium	Barenbrug USA	116	ABT-99-4.115	AgriBioTech, Inc.
50	BAR 9 B2	Barenbrug USA	117	ABT-99-4.560	AgriBioTech, Inc.
51	Premier II	Barenbrug USA	118	ABT-99-4.625	AgriBioTech. Inc.
52	Promier	Standard Entry	110	ABT-00-1 700	AgriBioTech Inc
52			119	ADT-00-4-704	Agribio fech, inc.
53	JR-187	Simplot Turr and Horticulture	120	AB1-99-4.724	Agribio lech, Inc.
54	JR-128	Simplot Turf and Horticulture	121	AB1-99-4.960	AgriBio lech, Inc.
55	JR-317	Simplot Turf and Horticulture	122	ABT-99-4.965	AgriBioTech, Inc.
56	JR-151	Simplot Turf and Horticulture	123	CIS-PR-72	Mountain View Seeds, Ltd.
57	Edge	Pickseed West, Inc.	124	PST-2JH	Pure-Seed Testing, Inc.
58	Pick PR OH-97	Pickseed West Inc	125	PST-21.96	Pure-Seed Testing Inc
59	Pick MDR	Pickseed West Inc	126	SRX 4820	Seed Research of Oregon, Inc.
60	Pick PRNGS	Pickseed West, Inc.	120	ABT-99-4.461	AgriBioTech, Inc.
CI	Diak DD D 07	Diskaged Wast Inc	100	APT 00 4 000	
01	PICK PK B-9/	Pickseed west, Inc.	120	AD1-99-4.629	Agribio recri, Inc.
62	PS1-2R1	Pure-Seed Testing, Inc.	129	AB1-99-4.633	AgriBio lech, Inc.
63	PST-2A6B	Pure-Seed Testing, Inc.	130	ABT-99-4.753	AgriBioTech, Inc.
64	PST-2SBE	Pure-Seed Testing, Inc.	131	ABT-99-4.903	AgriBioTech, Inc.
65	PST-2CRR	Pure-Seed Testing, Inc.	132	Radiant	Independent Seeds
66	PST-2M4	Pure-Seed Testing Inc	133	let	Pennington Seed Co
67	PST-2SLX	Pure-Seed Testing Inc	134	BY-100	Brett Young Seeds Ltd
		are eeea tooling, no.		2	2.04. 100.19 00000, Eld.

NEWSLINE

NEWSLINE

NEWSLINE

NEWSLINE

NEWSLINE

NTEP SITE PROFILE: UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

Turfgrass research has been conducted at the University of Rhode Island since 1890 with variety and species evaluation as an important component of the overall program. In the 1960's, Dr. C. R. Skogley contributed greatly to regional variety evaluations in cooperation with other Northeast researchers. This early work was the foundation for the initiation of NTEP. Here in the 1990's, the work continues with Dr. Bridget Ruemmele responsible for NTEP trials. Dr. Ruemmele and research associate Mr. Greg Fales maintain and evaluate NTEP trials on Kentucky bluegrass (high and low input), perennial ryegrass, fineleaf fescue, tall fescue and bentgrass (putting green and fairway). Being only a few miles from the Atlantic Ocean, the fifteen acre research facility in Kingston is an excellent location for evaluating variety response to coastal Northeast conditions. Various pests, such as dollar spot, are consistent threats and damage weak grasses.



Entrance sign to the University of Rhode Island turfgrass research facility in Kingston



Variety differences in the 1998 National Fineleaf Fescue Test at Kingston, RI. Summer drought in 1999 damaged the common creeping red fescue plots on the right while the improved chewings fescue on the left suffered little damage.

The heat and drought of summer 1999 stressed many of the grasses throughout the Northeast with the trials at Kingston being no exception. Considerable differences were noted in fineleaf fescue, Kentucky bluegrass and bentgrass trials. In addition, observations were made on newly improved species such as velvet bentgrass, colonial bentgrass and hairgrass (*Deschampsia sp.*).

Dr. Ruemmele also conducts ancillary trials on the NTEP bentgrass putting green and fairway/tee tests. She is maintaining one-half of each entry with little or no fungicide use allowing diseases to flourish. This year, due to drought, not much disease developed. Hopefully, in future years, disease pressure will allow data collection on resistance and the possibility of significantly reducing fungicide use on bentgrass. We appreciate the work of Dr. Ruemmele, Mr. Fales and the other colleagues at URI involved in NTEP research!

WEB SITE UPDATE

(continued from page one)

tions, impact and results of testing and membership information. In addition, a new section "Services" has been added containing information on such items as our recently introduced *Custom Data Analysis*. Of course, NTEP data for the last five years is available, as it was on our old site.

Future planned enhancements include:

• Updated listing of varieties and their sponsoring companies. This list will include company information such as address, phone and fax numbers, contact persons and links to company web sites.

• On-line ordering/payment of reports and membership dues.



• Contact information for university cooperators, tests being evaluated at each location and more details on new tests.

We want to better serve your needs, therefore please contact us via phone or email with your suggestions.

NEW<u>SLINE</u>

WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT NTEP: PART THREE "TEST PROCEDURES AND METHODS"

Successful variety evaluations require considerable planning and forethought. First, the NTEP Policy Committee produces a testing schedule for the next three to five years. Scheduling tests up to five years in advance gives seed companies and plant breeders the time needed to evaluate experimental selections and choose the most promising for further evaluation. Also, one to two years is needed to produce sufficient quantities of seed for entry into NTEP tests (for instance, NTEP needs 8 - 10 kg of seed for one tall fescue entry). The advance scheduling is important to university cooperators as they can better plan future field studies and the most efficient use of land resources.

Next, information is mailed to seed companies and plant breeders announcing the upcoming test. This official announcement is made 10-12 months in advance of the deadline for seed to be received at NTEP headquarters in Beltsville, Maryland. Included with the announcement is a questionnaire concerning the approximate number of entries each company will submit. Companies are not held to these numbers. They are used solely to estimate the number of entries NTEP and cooperators can expect. At the same time, a questionnaire is mailed to university scientists to solicit those interested in evaluating this test. After the questionnaires are received and compiled, an advisory committee consisting of members

from universities and industry make suggestions on the site locations, test management levels (i.e., fertility, mowing height, irrigation, etc.), seeding rates, standard entries and additional test data to be collected. For instance, the tall fescue advisory committee may suggest that traffic tolerance and brown patch resistance are two important testing needs. The NTEP administration would then seek locations that can adequately evaluate those characteristics. Additional funding is given to locations that perform these additional evaluations (called ancillary studies).

In addition, funding proposals are often submitted by researchers. The advisory committee and the NTEP administration evaluate each proposal based on merit, cost and relevance of the research and makes a determination on whether to fund or not fund.

After site locations and ancillary studies are determined, research agreements are mailed to university scientists (cooperators) chosen to evaluate the test. The agreement contains the following basic points:

1. The Principal Investigator (PI) must collect the following data: an establishment rating; genetic color, spring greenup and leaf texture ratings (once each year); turfgrass quality ratings monthly during the growing season. 2. Plant material (seed or vegetative) will not be used for breeding or seed production purposes unless written permission is obtained from the owner of each entry. All plant materials will be destroyed at the end of the testing period.

3. If the above conditions are met and complete data are submitted, the PI will be paid a total of US\$10,000.00 (current payment structure initiated with the 1999 Perennial Ryegrass Test) over the following period:

A. When the seed or planting stock is mailed:

US\$1,000.00

B. When the first full years' data is received:

US\$2,500.00

C. When the second full years' data is received:

US\$2,500.00

D. When the third full years' data is received:

US\$2,000.00

E. When the fourth full years' data is received:

US\$2,000.00

Data must be submitted to NTEP by February 1 following the year the data was collected. This allows NTEP to review, analyze and publish the data in a timely manner. Data for a particular year is published and released four to six months after the end of the calendar year.

(continued on page six)

WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT NTEP (continued from page five)

NTEP test sites use a standard plot size depending on the species. Cool-season grasses, such as Kentucky bluegrass or perennial ryegrass, are planted in approximately 25 square feet plots (2.2 m^2) while warm-season grasses, such as bermudagrass or buffalograss, use approximately 36 square feet plots (2.6 m²). Tests are planted in randomized complete block designs with three replications. Coolseason grasses are planted often with no border between them. This allows for even and uniform mowing patterns. Warm-season grasses are highly stoloniferous and will contaminate each other quite easily, therefore, borders of other species or bare soil are used between plots.

Standard establishment procedures, such as adequate irrigation, timely fertilization and proper mowing heights, are used initially to enhance germination or vegetative development. This allows for a uniform evaluation of establishment rates for all entries. When plots are fully established, then the selected management regime is initiated. This management regime will be used for the remainder of the testing period (four to five years).

Each NTEP study utilizes different management regimes. These are agreed upon by the advisory committee and are assigned by NTEP administration based on a uniform geographical distribution and the resources available at each test site. Data is collected by cooperators at each university location and then submitted to NTEP at the end of the growing season. The data is first reviewed and checked for any obvious errors. For instance, disease ratings are reviewed to ensure a good disease infection occurred and that the proper rating scale was used (many pathologists in the U.S. use a scale for rating diseases of 0-10: 0=no disease). This is accomplished by comparing the ratings of certain disease susceptible "standard" entries with other entries. If the standard entries have high ratings, we suspect that either the wrong scale was used or a low disease infection was present. After an initial review, any questions or problems found are directed to the cooperator. When these questions are resolved, the data is statistically analyzed using an ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) procedure. ANOVA compares the data collected across replications and entries in terms of their means and variability.

NTEP examines the ANOVA produced for each data set (data set is defined as the data from one species test at one location). Data sets that appear to be problem-free are printed and returned to the cooperator for final review. If no problems are found with the data as it is analyzed by NTEP, it is used in that form by NTEP. However, problems are found in 25 to 30 percent (%) of the data sets during this step. Often the problems relate to improper coding of the data. These problems are normally easily corrected. Again, NTEP staff directs the questions it has to the cooperator. After consultation with the cooperator, questionable data is either adjusted or discarded. The corrected data sets are analyzed again, printed and mailed to cooperators for their final review.

When all data sets have been reviewed and/or corrected. NTEP staff starts the summary report. All data are pooled and statistically analyzed. Turfgrass quality data is summarized and presented in computer generated table format. Data on individual characteristics such as genetic color, leaf texture, diseases, etc. are analyzed and printed in separate tables. The summary report (progress report) is formatted, reviewed for errors and printed. The summary reports are then mailed to NTEP members and loaded on the NTEP web site (www.ntep.org).

(This is part three of a series of articles that was originally published as a chapter in Turfgrass Cultivars: Breeding and Utilization, by SoftScience, Inc. (Japanese only). The next issue of Newsline will focus on NTEP test sites/locations).

NTEP NEWSLINE is issued on a quarterly basis. If you have concerns or suggestions, please contact:

Kevin Morris Exec. Director Natl. Turfgrass Evaluation Program 377 Plant Science Lincoln, NE 68583